



## Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact [support@jstor.org](mailto:support@jstor.org).

discuss subjects in great detail himself, but to refer to the "great leading and annotated cases upon each proposition stated."

The author's manner of dealing with his subject could be improved, it would seem, by a more careful analysis. Much space is consumed in partially discussing in one place what is more fully discussed in another. For example, practically all the matter given in the first part is repeated in more expanded and more accurate form in the second, so that the first part seems superfluous. The term "contracts" is inaccurately applied to many topics which it does not properly include, such as judgments and quasi-contracts. Certain discussions of subjects admitted not to belong to the field of contracts seem rather out of place, as, for example, the ninety-page treatment of criminal law in the third part. Too much prominence is given to Latin maxims which are so often on close analysis found to be meaningless or erroneous. What errors appear in the book are usually due to statement so condensed as to be misleading, or to a failure to find the ultimate principle, rather than to absolute misconceptions.

The chief value of the book would seem to be as a general index to textbooks and other authorities. It will be useful in looking up the broad principles of the law of contracts rather than for careful preparation on a given case. It is a good book for finding quickly in a general way what the law is.

A TREATISE ON COMMERCIAL PAPER AND THE NEGOTIABLE INSTRUMENTS LAW. By James W. Eaton and Frank B. Gilbert. Albany: Matthew Bender. 1903. pp. xciii, 767. 8vo.

This work resembles in general arrangement the standard treatises on commercial paper, but the method of handling the details of the subject is somewhat new. In this regard the volume might almost be described as a running comment on the Negotiable Instruments Law. The text selected for discussion is that of the New York Act, but there are cross references to the statutes of all the other twenty or more jurisdictions in which the Law is now in force. After citing the provisions of the New York Act, the authors indicate by a summary of the common law decisions the extent and nature of the changes which the legislature has brought about. This manner of treatment is logical and effective and may be commended as the best idea in the book.

The authors make no attempt to do more than state decisions of the courts. The principles of the law merchant and the reasons and customs which underlie them are omitted. Even such a disputed point as the reason for the liability of the acceptor of a forged bill is not discussed. Such a work, it would seem, can hardly be regarded as a real contribution to the study of the law of negotiable instruments.

In the field which they attempt to cover the authors are generally accurate. There is, of course, an occasional omission. For instance, under the Negotiable Instruments Law, one who takes incomplete paper, although for value and without notice, must ascertain at his peril the actual amount for which the possessor has authority to fill in the blanks. Formerly in America possession of the instrument was sufficient evidence to a *bona fide* purchaser of authority to fill up to any amount. *Fullerton v. Sturges*, 4 Oh. St. 530. This distinction between the common and the statute law is not clearly pointed out. Such omissions, however, are rare, and, as a manual for the practising attorney, the book may well be of use.

CASES ON EQUITY PLEADING AND PRACTICE. By Bradley M. Thompson, Jay Professor of Law in the University of Michigan. Chicago: Callaghan and Company. 1903. pp. ix, 326. 8vo.

The study of law by the case-system aims at giving the student a knowledge of how to find the law and a training in legal reasoning which will enable him to apply it when found. To gain these two objects, especially the latter, it